## PATENT COOPERATION TRE

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

	•	,	· ``
	ion in	PATENT COOPERATION TRE	PCT/FR2003/0024
	slatic	PCT	
<b>~</b>	PCT/FR2003/0024  PATENT COOPERATION TREATY  PCT/FR2003/0024  PCT/FR2003/00		
` <b>`</b>			
,	Applicant's or agent's file reference  R 02111G1	FOR FURTHER ACTION Destination Description	
	International application No. PCT/FR2003/002444	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 août 2003 (01.08.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 août 2002 (02.08.2002)
:	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C09C 3/08		
RES.	Applicant RHODIA CHIMIE		
	This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.		
Con .	3. This report contains indications relating to the following iterns:  I Basis of the report  II Priority  Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application		
	Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	
	09 février 2004 (09.02  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EF		4 June 2004 (14.06.2004)
	Facsimile No.	Telephone No.	

I. Basis of the report...

The basis of international preliminary examination report is the application as originally filed.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).